

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/967,250	09/28/2001	Michael J. Mullane	MJM-50X	6233	
75	590 10/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTOPHER JOHN RUDY			AMIRI, NAHID		
209 HURON A PORT HURON	•	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3635		
		DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{O}_j
Office Astion Comments		09/967,250	MULLANE, MICI	HAEL J.
Office Ad	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Nahid Amiri	3635	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply spectors. If NO period for reply is period for reply is period for reply within the second property received by the second property.	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In the mailing date of this communication. If ified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rejectified above, the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statu Office later than three months after the mailinent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may be within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this are ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 06.	luly 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is I	FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) ☐ Since this app	lication is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in acco	rdance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) <u>1-3,9</u> 6) Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/ 7) Claim(s) <u>19 ar</u>	_ is/are pending in the applicative claim(s) is/are withdra- -17 and 21-25 is/are allowed. Fare rejected. Ind 20 is/are objected to. _ are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dr	on is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination on is/are: a) action action and action are considered to by the Examination is objected to be action.	cepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in about or b) or b) objected if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 (
Priority under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119			
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applicate	ent is made of a claim for foreigone * c) None of: I copies of the priority document copies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority for the priority document of the certified copies of the priority for the lateral detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received Drity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References C 2) Notice of Draftsperson's	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (P'	TO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/967,250

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,471,799 Smeja in view of US Patent No. 4,467,581 Francovitch, as set forth in previous office action.

In regard to claim 18: Smeja discloses the claimed invention Figs. 1-5, column 2, lines 49-60, a snow guard 10 fastened to downwardly inclined roof 11having a base 12 and snow intersecting upstanding members 20 with at least one predetermined angle one to another, column 1, lines 65-67, snow guard system can be installed at any orientation, column 3, lines 41-43, the base 12 is preferably square although it could be other shapes. Francovitch teaches Figs. 1-2, column 2, lines 44-50, a resilient metal body 1 having a disc shaped base for anchoring roofing membrance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the base of Smeja to be round in order to reduce the cost of the snow guard by reducing the material required for the base as taught by Francovitch.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 9-17, 21-25 stand allowed.

Claims 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,250

Art Unit: 3635

In regard to claim 18 of applicant argues that the Francovitch and Smeja 's invention are considered unrelated art to applicant's invention, and also Smeja teaches away from Francovitch's invention. Examiner disagrees.

Cited art used as the basis for rejection under 35 USC § 103 are only required to disclose the claimed limitations. As discussed above, Smeja '799 and Francovitch '581 are related art to applicant's inventions which both are used for roofing industry to prevent the entry of moisture into the structure, which creates by rain or snow. Therefore, it is obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the base of Smaje's invention by Francovitch in order to reduced the cost of material. Also, as stated above Smeja discloses the "base 10 is preferably a square, although it could be other shapes". Therefore, the other shape could be round, because it reduces the cost of material.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nahid Amiri whose telephone number is (703) 305-4241 and Fax number is 703-872-9306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00-5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839.

na

September 21, 2004

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600